IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

MONDAY, THE 10TH DECEMBER 2007 / 19TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 205 of 1999()

SC.147/1996 of II ADDL.SESSIONS COURT, KOLLAM

.....

REVN. PETITIONER: PW2

SALIM, S/O.MUHAMMADKUTTY
KOCHUKUNNATHU VEEDU, KULAPPADAM
NEDUMBANA CHERRY, NEDUMBANA VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.V.N.ACHUTHA KURUP (SR.)
SRI.B.S.SWATHY KUMAR

RESPONDENTS: ACCUSED NOS. 1 TO 5, 7 & 8 AND COMPLAINANT

---

1. KAREEM, S/O.KOYAKUNJU
   MELATHIL VEEDU
   NEDUMPANA CHERRY (NOW RESIDING PALLIVADAKKATHIL VEEDU
   NEDUMPANA VILLAGE.

2. JAHAMKIR @ JAHAN, S/O.NOOR MOHAMMED
   PARAVILA VEEDU, MUTTAKKAVU CHERRY
   KULAPPADAM, NEDUMPARA VILLAGE.

3. NIZAMUDEEN @ NIZAM, S/O.SHAMSUDEEN
   THADAVILA PADINJATTATHIL
   NEDUMPANA VILLAGE.

4. NAZEER, S/O.YOOSUF KUNJU
   ASARITHODIYIL VEEDU, KHADI JUNCTION
   MUTTAKKAVU CHERRY, NEDUMPANA VILLAGE.

5. ANWAR BABU @ ANWAR, S/O.ABDUL RAHIM
   SALIM NIVAS, NEAR KHADI JUNCTION
   NEDUMPANA CHERRY, NEDUMPANA VILLAGE.

6. RAHIM, S/O.HASSANARU KUNJU
   VADAKKANTAZHIKATHU PUTHEN VEEDU
   NEAR KUZHIMATHIKKAD SCHOOL
   PAZHANGOLAM CHERRY, NEDUMPANA VILLAGE.

7. NAJEEM, S/O.ABOOBAKER KUTTY
   PARAVILA VEEDU, KULAPPADAOM
   NEDUMPANA VILLAGE.

8. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
   HIGH COURT OF KERALA, ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI.C.M.NAZAR
SRI.P.B.SURESH KUMAR

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 10/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

Crl.R.P.No.205 of 1999

Dated this the 10th day of December, 2007

ORDER

The revision petitioner who was examined as PW2 in SC No.147/96 on the file of the Second Additional Sessions Court, Kollam had preferred this revision petition challenging the adequacy of sentence imposed on respondents 1 to 7 who were arraigned as accused Nos.1 to 5, 7 and 8 in the above case. According to the petitioner, he had also sustained injuries in the incident which culminated in the above case.

2. When this revision petition came up for consideration today, it is brought to my notice that the appeal preferred by the accused against the order of conviction and sentence as Crl.A.No.882/98 had already been heard and disposed of by this court vide judgment dated 19th September, 2007. The learned counsel submits that in view of the above intervening development this revision petition is not pressed. Therefore, the R.P. is dismissed as not pressed.

A.K.BASHEER,
JUDGE

csl